

SRI P. M. NADGOUDA (Minister for Development),—

(a) the total amount of loan received from all sources till the end of June 1968 is Rs. 6,84,478.

(b) As on 30th June 1968 the total amount to be repaid is Rs. 4,56,353.

Details are as follows:—

1	To Government	...	92,500
2	To District Central Co-operative Bank, Dharwar.	...	97,000
3	To Ranibennur market	...	1,200
4	Deposits	...	16,098
5	To Mysore State Marketing Co-operative Society, Bangalore.		2,49,555
			<hr/> 4,56,353 <hr/>

(c) No. The business concerns will not keep their loans in cash and also they will not keep any money for repayment. The Society has invested its amount in business. However the balance of amount in the Society as on 28th February 1969 was Rs. 53,321-08; on account of the existing financial position of the Society it cannot be construed that the repayment of loan is impossible.

As on 28-2-1969

1	Balance	...	Rs. 2,378-94
	Bank Balance	...	Rs. 50,942-14
			<hr/> 35,321-08 <hr/>

Amount spent for Economic Development of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes and Denotified Tribes

75. **SRI J. P. SARWESH (Sedum).—**

Will the Minister for Development be pleased to state :—

(a) whether the Government has at any time investigated the amount spent by each Taluk Development Board for the economic development of Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes and Denotified tribes in the State;

(b) whether any circulars and instructions are issued to the Taluk Development Boards in the State to spend any percentage out of Taluk Board funds on economical development of Scheduled Castes and Denotified people and Scheduled Tribes;

(SRI J. P. SARWESH)

(c) if so, whether it has come to the notice of the Government that in spite of instructions many Taluk Boards in Gulbarga Division are not following or implementing the directions of Government ;

(d) the percentage of each Taluk Board funds spent in the years 1967-68 and 1968-69 on economical development of Scheduled Castes, Denotified Tribes and Scheduled Tribes in Bidar, Raichur and Gulbarga Districts ?

Sri P. M. NADGOUDA (Minister for Development).—

(a) No.

(b) Yes. 18 per cent.

(c) No.

(d) Statement appended.

STATEMENT

Name of the District	Name of the T. D. B.	Percentage of amount spent during	
		1967-68	1968-69
Gulbarga	Chitapur	2½	6
	Chincholi	1½	2
	Afzalpur	3.3	2.5
	Jewargi	4	4
	Shahapur	3	Nil
	Yadgir	9	1
	Gulbarga	2.5	9
	Shorapur	Nil	2
	Aland	2	2
	Sedam	1.3	0.91
Bidar	Humnabad	...	0.02
	Bhalki
	Basavakalyan	3.33	1.43
	Santapur	9	8
	Bidar	0.5	1.5
Raichur	Raichur	3.8	3.4
	Sindhanur	5	2
	Deodurg	11	4.3
	Koppal	1.34	0.31
	Manvi	0.4	3.7
	Kushtagi	4	4½
	Yelburga	11.56	12.10
	Gangavathi	3	2
	Lingasugur	3	...

NOTE :

The above figures of percentage are with reference to the entire budget provision of the T.D.Bs. and not with reference to the amount earmarked for any class or group of persons. It is therefore very difficult to indicate whether 18 per cent of the amount so earmarked has been spent for the benefit of persons belonging to the S.C., S.T. and Denotified Tribes. The detailed information and full particulars in this behalf are not readily available.

It may however be noted that the obligatory and discretionary functions cast upon the Taluk Development Boards under Sections 130 and 131 of the Mysore Village Panchayats and Local Boards Act, 1959, relating to the developmental activities are applicable to the people belonging to S.C., S.T. and Denotified Tribes also.

Land granted to Political Sufferers

253. Sri N. G. HALAPPA (Channagiri).—

Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state :—

(a) the extent of the land granted to the political sufferers in the State since the year 1962 (the districtwise figures may be given) ;

(b) the number of cases where such lands have been alienated by such persons and again lands have been granted to such persons on the same score of political sufferers ;

(c) the action Government proposes to take in such cases ;

(d) whether the Government while granting the land under the style of political sufferers takes into consideration the extent of land that is owned by such applicant himself or the land that is owned by the Joint Hindu Family of which such an applicant is a member ?

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI (Minister for Revenue).—

(a) *Vide* statement appended.

(b) In 3 cases but no lands have been granted to them again.

(c) Action will be taken as per Rules.

(d) Yes. All relevant facts will be taken into consideration.